UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,418	05/13/2005	Edouard S.P Bouvier	60009US(49991)	4955	
	7590 02/28/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER			
Client: Waters Corporation P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ARNOLD, ERNST V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,418	BOUVIER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off Abrief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betometer 	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: While the limitation where the biomolecule			
examined, the claims were not examined as instan with respect to dependent claims 3-5, 8, 9, 11, 13-			
1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11,13-30,33 and 123</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10,31,32,34-45,62</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	2-65,95-101,106-113 and 117.		
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant asserts that the Examiner has misunderstood applicant's own definition supports solubilization as a chassertions that solubilization is a physical change and no persuasive. Beside the fact that Applicant has defined so inherent/intrinisc to the combination of components taug	the language "chemcial alteration". emical alteration. See page 10 of Robt a chemical alteration that must in olubilization as a chemical alteration the in the art. The rejections of recor	The Examiner cannot lemarks filed on 1/29/ volve a chemical reaction, any chemical reaction	t agree. 08. Applicant's tion are not
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (⊢ I U/SΒ/U8) Paper N0(S)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080214